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While until recently helicenes have been available in only small amounts ${ }^{1}$ and with limited functionality, ${ }^{4}$ ca. 25 g of [5]helicenebisquinones and 6- and 7-ring analogues such as $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ can be made easily in the laboratory and resol ved into their enantiomers. ${ }^{3,5-7}$ [8]Helicenes, however, remain rare. In fact, only six appear to have been made: 3, ${ }^{8}$ 4, ${ }^{9}$ 5, ${ }^{10}$ and three derivatives of $\mathbf{3}$ that were the precursors of $\mathbf{4} .^{2 c, 9,11}$
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The question considered here is whether two previous discoveries could be combined to el aborate a [6]helicene into an [8]helicene. One discovery is that, in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$, secondary amines add to [6]helicenebis-
(1) Stilbene photocyclizations, ${ }^{2 a}$ commonly used to prepare helicenes, ${ }^{2 b}$ must be carried out using solutions that are dilute, ${ }^{2 a, c}$ and they are inhibited by amino, nitro, and other functional groups that relax the singlet excited state of the stilbene. ${ }^{2 a}$ The majority of helicene syntheses that do not use light have produced examples that racemize at a significant rate at room temperature (see ref 3 , footnotes $1-3$ and 6 ).
(2) (a) Mallory, F. B.; Mallory, C. W. Organic Reactions; Wiley: New York, 1984; Vol. 30, p 1. (b) Laarhoven, W. H.; Prinsen, W. J. C. Top. Curr. Chem. 1984, 125, 63. (c) Liu, L.; Yang, B.; K atz, T. J .; Poindexter, M. K. J . Org. Chem. 1991, 56, 3769.
(3) Katz, T. J .; Liu, L.; Willmore, N. D.; Fox, J. M.; Rheingold, A. L.; Shi, S.; Nuckolls, C.; Rickman, B. H. J . Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10054.
(4) See footnote 8 in ref 3, and Fox, J. M.; Lin, D.; Itagaki, Y.; F ujita, T. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2031.
(5) Liu, L.; Katz, T. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 3983.
(6) Nuckolls, C.; Katz, T. J .; Castellanos, L. J . Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 3767.
quinone $\mathbf{1}$ to produce adducts specifically of structure 6. ${ }^{3,12}$ The selectivity for reaction at carbons 2 and 15,

rather than at 3 and 14, is so high that pyrrolidine gives a $92 \%$ yield of only this one adduct, and its purity is $>95 \%{ }^{3}$ Other nucleophiles exhibit similar selectivity, ${ }^{13}$ the origin of which appears to be understood. ${ }^{3}$ The other discovery is that 1,2-phenylenediamine combines with 1,4-naphthoquinone in acetic acid to give 5-hydroxybenzo[a]phenazine, $\mathbf{7 , 1 4}$ a transformation that fuses a quinoxaline to the naphthalene core. An analogous reaction with bisquinone 1 would fuse two such rings to the[6]helicene. Moreover, if it occurred with the same regioselectivity, simple reagents should convert a derivative of [6]hel icene that is itself easy to obtain into a derivative of [8]helicene. Since bisquinone $\mathbf{1}$ can be obtained optically pure, ${ }^{3}$ any [8]helicene made from it would be too. We report here the realization of this possibility. We also show how the resulting [8]helicene can be transformed into a bis-oquinone, and this, in turn, into a bis-bidentate ligand.

## Results and Discussion

Synthesis of [8]Helicenes. As summarized in Scheme 1, combining levorotatory bisquinone ( M )-1 with 1,2phenylenediamine in the presence of $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}$ and air gives adduct 8 in $75 \%$ yield, and this, when heated in acetic acid, cyclizes to give [8]helicene derivative 9.

In contrast to 7, which is present in solution primarily as the phenol tautomer, $\mathbf{9}$ in solution is present mainly as the ketone (or vinylogous lactam). The evidence rests in part on the properties of the diacetate 10, prepared by treating 9 with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ in $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ (see Scheme 1). Since the diacetate shows in its UV-vis absorption spectrum (displayed in the Supporting Information) a longest wavelength maximum at $460 \mathrm{~nm},{ }^{15,16}$ in its ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum only one resonance at low field ( $\delta 167.3 \mathrm{ppm}$ ), which must be assigned to the carbonyl of the acetate,

[^0]
a Reaction conditions and yields: (a) 1,2-phenylenediamine, $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAC})_{2}, 1: 1 \mathrm{MeOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, 2.5 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $75 \%$ yield); (b) AcOH , reflux, 2.5 h ; (c) $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}, \mathrm{rt}, 3 \mathrm{~h}$ ( $60 \%$ yield from 8); (d) $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{Se}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}, \mathrm{THF}$, reflux, 2.5 h ( $60 \%$ yield from 8).
and in its infrared absorption spectrum a peak at 1778 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$, it must be the O -, not the N -, acetate of $9 .{ }^{17}$ That is, it has the phenol acetate structure. Accordingly, the differences between its UV -vis absorption spectrum and that of 9, which has maxima at 490 and 580 nm (displayed in the Supporting Information), ${ }^{15}$ and the presence of a carbonyl resonance in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 9, at 168.7 ppm, show that 9 has the other structure, that of the vinylogous lactam. ${ }^{18}$

That 1,2-phenylenediamine, like secondary aliphatic amines, ${ }^{3,12}$ adds to carbons 2 and 15 of bisquinone $\mathbf{1}$ is

[^1]

Figure 1. CD (upper curve, ordinate on left) and UV-vis absorption spectra (lower curve, ordinate on right) of a $2.0 \times$ $10^{-5} \mathrm{M}$ solution of (M)-(-)-11 in $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$.
evidenced by the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of the adduct $\mathbf{1 0}$, displaying a ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR for the acetate protons at the position at which aryl acetates normally resonate, ca. $\delta$ $2.3 \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{19} \mathrm{If}$ instead the 1,2-phenylenediamine had added to carbons 3 and 14, the diacetate after the treatment with $\mathrm{Ac}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ would have been 12. Its acetates would have been positioned inside the helix, and therefore their protons should have resonated not at $\delta 2.3$, but at ca. 1.1 ppm , where the acetates of $\mathbf{1 3}(\delta 1.12)^{6}$ and of one pair ${ }^{20}$ of those of $\mathbf{1 4}(\delta 1.01 \mathrm{ppm})^{12}$ resonate.


Although 9 could be isolated pure by crystallization from benzene-hexane, the yield was low (ca. 20\%), for the mother liquor contained substantial amounts that could not be crystallized pure. Furthermore, 9 decomposed on silica gel or alumina, and therefore could not be purified by chromatography. However, as displayed in Scheme 1, benzeneseleninic anhydride ${ }^{21}$ oxidizes 9 to bis-o-quinone 11, and when the oxidation is carried out before $\mathbf{9}$ is purified, chromatography gives $\mathbf{1 1}$ in a yield (from 8) of 59\%. Orthoquinone $\mathbf{1 1}$ is a brown solid that melts at $220-221^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and it is soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$, benzene, THF , and acetone. The CD and UVvis absorption spectra of its solutions in acetonitrile are displayed in Figure 1.

[^2]Scheme 2


The absorption maximum at $555 \mathrm{~nm}\left(\epsilon=1.5 \times 10^{3}\right)$ is characteristic of o-quinones, which display peaks, assigned to $n-\pi^{*}$ transitions, between 500 and 580 nm (log $\epsilon$ ca. 1.4-1.8). ${ }^{22}$ In the CD spectrum of $\mathbf{1 1}$ this absorption gives rise to a negatively dichroic peak, $\Delta \epsilon=-26$. Since the structure has M-helicity, it suggests that the $n-\pi^{*}$ transitions of ( M )-helicenes that haveo-quinonefunctions at outer rings, like those having p-quinones, ${ }^{3}$ are negatively dichroic.

Preparation of a Helical Ligand. As shown in Scheme 2, ethylenediamine, a reagent that converts 9, 10-phenanthrenequinone into dibenzo[f,h]quinoxaline, ${ }^{23}$ also converts 11 into 15 in $48 \%$ yield. Since 15 is a derivative of the ligand 4,7-diaza-1,10-phenanthroline (pyrazino[2,3-f]quinoxaline), ${ }^{24}$ we tried to complex it to metals, and as Scheme 2 also shows, it reacts with Re $(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$ in toluene ${ }^{25}$ to give structure 16, which was isolated in 30\% yield. Other materials, presumably stereoisomers of 16, were also formed, but these could not be obtained pure. Rhenium complex $\mathbf{1 6}$ is a red solid that does not melt at temperatures below $220^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and it is soluble in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}, \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$, toluene, and THF. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum shows that its structure is symmetrical and its IR spectrum has the three absorptions required of the metal carbonyls ${ }^{25}$ at 2023, 1926, and $1893 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$.

To see whether ligand 15 would also make polymeric coordination complexes of structure 17, and whether such polymers would display interesting optical properties, we treated $\mathbf{1 5}$ with CuCl in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-a combination that Pallenberg, K oenig, and Barnhart used to make hindered bis(2,9-dialkyl-1,10-phenanthroline)cuprous complexes. ${ }^{26}$

[^3]

We obtained a material whose ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum is broad, as expected for the polymer, but its optical properties differ little from those of 15 . Its specific rotation, $[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}$, is -4090 (c $0.014,1: 1 \mathrm{CHCl}_{3}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ ) while that of 15 is -3860 (c $0.032, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ), and none of the peaks in its CD spectrum aresignificantly larger than those in the spectrum of $\mathbf{1 5}$. Given that the properties appeared unremarkable, we did not analyze whether structure $\mathbf{1 7}$ had failed to form or whether its tetrahedral metal center impedes conjugation between the helicene moieties. We note that polymers were not pursued of metals that, because they give square planar complexes, might be more likely to favor conjugation between ligands, for steric crowding is likely to distort structure 17 from a square planar geometry at the metal. ${ }^{27,28}$

## Conclusions

Optically pure [8]helicene derivative 9 can easily be synthesized from nonracemic [6]helicenebisquinone $\mathbf{1}$ and 1,2-phenylenediamine. Orthoquinone $\mathbf{1 1}$ can be obtained by combining 9 with benzeneseleninic anhydride, and it reacts with ethylenediamine to form a helical bis-bidentate ligand. Like ( $M$ )-helicenes that have p-quinones on their outer rings, 11, which instead has o-quinones, has a negative circular dichroism associated with its $n-\pi^{*}$ transition.

## Experimental Section

THF and toluene were distilled from sodium-benzophenone ketyl, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and $\mathrm{Et}_{3} \mathrm{~N}$ from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Benzeneseleninic anhydride was purchased from Acros. 1,2-Phenylenediamine, purchased from Aldrich, was recrystallized from toluene. Acetic anhydride (99.9\%), copper acetate (98\%), and ethylenediamine (99.5+\%) were purchased from Aldrich and used without further purification. Glassware was flame-dried under vacuum and cooled under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$, and unless otherwise stated, reactions were run under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$. The matrix for FAB mass spectra was mnitrobenzyl alcohol. Chromatography refers to "flash chromatography". 29

Reaction of (M)-1 with 1,2-Phenylenediamine. Preparation of 8. A mixture of (M)-(-)-13 ( $0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.81 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), 1,2phenylenediamine ( $3.00 \mathrm{~g}, 27.8 \mathrm{mmol}$ ), and $\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{OAc})_{2}(2.00 \mathrm{~g}$, 11.0 mmol ) in 1:1 $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$-methanol ( 120 mL ) was refluxed in the air for 2.5 h . The mixture was cooled, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ (ca. 400 mL ) was added. The material, obtained by washing with 1 N $\mathrm{HCl}(3 \times)$ and with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}(1 \times)$, drying ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2}-$ $\mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ), and removing the solvent under reduced pressure, was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and chromatographed (eluting with 1:1 ethyl acetate-hexane). The yield of 8 , a red-brown solid, $\mathrm{mp} 127-$ $128{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{D}-4230\left(\mathrm{c} 0.040, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ), was $0.50 \mathrm{~g}(75 \%)$. IR (KBr): 3452, 3334, 2928, 2865, 1656, 1613, $1591 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.95(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$

[^4]$8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.07$ (dd, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.00(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.74(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 5.71(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.59(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 4.01(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.64(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 3.60(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.64(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.44(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 0.96(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 $\mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 183.3,180.6,159.3,147.5,141.7,136.0,132.7$, 131.6, 127.9, 127.4, 126.4, 125.7, 125.3, 124.5, 122.3, 122.0, 118.6, $116.6,102.6,100.4,71.2,68.7,68.6,31.6,19.1,13.8$ ppm. HRMS (F AB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{49} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}(\mathrm{M}+1) 833.3550$, found 833.3541.

Preparation of 9 . Compound $8(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 0.60 \mathrm{mmol})$ was refluxed for 2.5 h in acetic acid ( 75 mL ). After cooling to room temperature, the red solution was poured into $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(400 \mathrm{~mL})$, washed twice with water and twice with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$, and dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$. The solvents were removed to yield 0.49 g of a dark red solid, which was used directly in the next step. A sample for analysis was crystallized from benzenehexane. $\mathrm{Mp}>220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-4520\left(\mathrm{C} 0.040, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. IR $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ : 2960, 2931, 2859, 1741, 1709, 1638, 1621, $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\left(500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 8.62(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.60(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.48(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.2,8.2 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $7.34(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.5,7.3), 7.13(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.2 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.96(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H})$, $6.46(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.74(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 1.53\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}+\mathrm{NH}\right), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta$ 168.7, 156.9, 140.5, 140.2, 136.9, $133.3,132,0,131.3,130.9,130.0,129.5,128.4,127.6,126.7,125.3$, 122.3, 120.8, 120.1, 99.8, 97.8, 71.6, 69.1, 68.6, 32.0, 19.4, 14.0 ppm. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{6}(\mathrm{M}+1)$ 797.3339, found 797.3355.

Preparation of Diacetate 10. Triethylamine $(0.7 \mathrm{~mL}, 5$ mmol) was added to a solution of crude $9(0.120 \mathrm{~g})$ in acetic anhydride ( $7.0 \mathrm{~mL}, 74 \mathrm{mmol}$ ). After this had stirred at room temperature for 3 h , the solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed (eluting first with $15 \%$ acetone $-85 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and then with $20 \%$ acetone$80 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to give $0.082 \mathrm{~g}(62 \%)$ of $\mathbf{1 0}$, a yellow solid, mp 207 $208{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} . \operatorname{IR}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right): 2929,2856,1778,1741,1705,1599 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.56(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}$, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.06(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.51(\mathrm{~m}$, $2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 6.25(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.26(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.52$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.01(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( 75 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 167.3,156.3,147.8,141.3,141.0,139.8,137.9,132.1$, 129.6, 129.3, 128.8, 128.7, 128.1, 127.9, 127.7 (2 peaks), 126.1, 122.1, 120.8, 117.1, 97.3, 71.7, 69.0, 68.2, 31.9, 20.9, 19.4, 14.0 ppm. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8} 880.3472$, found 880.3440.

Preparation of Bis-o-quinone 11. A mixture of crude 9 ( 0.49 g ) and benzeneseleninic anhydride ( $1.96 \mathrm{~g}, 5.44 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 50 mL ) was refluxed for 2.5 h . The reaction mixture was cooled, and $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added. The solution was washed twice with saturated aqueous $\mathrm{NaHCO}_{3}$ and once with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and dried ( $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ ). The solvents were removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was triturated with hexane. Chromatography with $1: 1$ ethyl acetate- $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ gave 0.29 g ( $59 \%$ for the 2 steps) of 11, a brown solid, mp 220-221 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-7400\left(\mathrm{c} 0.005, \mathrm{CH}_{2^{-}}\right.$ $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ); $-7700\left(\mathrm{c} 0.005, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}\right.$ ); -9050 (c 0.005, THF ); -11560 (c $\left.0.005, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right) .{ }^{30}$ IR (KBr): 2930, 2869, 1722, 1678, 1593, 1511 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $500 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.52(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.17(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.69$ (dd, 2H, $\mathrm{J}=7.5,7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.53(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4,7.2), 6.88(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$

[^5]8.3), $6.76(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.25(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 3.97(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.68$ $(\mathrm{t}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=6.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 1.70(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.49(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.00(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ 7.4 Hz ) ppm. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR ( $125 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 177.8,177.0,157.0$, $148.3,141.2,140.5,139.8,132.5,132.2,131.4,131.0,130.5,130.3$, $130.1,129.4,128.9,128.4,127.5,121.5,102.7,71.5,69.0,68.7$, 31.8, 19.4, 14.0 ppm. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{43} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ (M + 3) ${ }^{31}$ 827.3081, found 827.3062. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{50} \mathrm{H}_{40} \mathrm{~N}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : C, 72.8; H, 4.9; N, 6.8. Found: C, 72.3; H, 5.0; N, 6.4.

Preparation of 15. Ethylenediamine ( $0.36 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.32 \mathrm{~g}, 5.4$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ was added to a solution of $11(0.100 \mathrm{~g}, 0.121 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 15 mL ). After the mixture had refluxed in the air for 3 h , the solvent was stripped, and the residue was filtered through a plug of silica gel ( $2 \mathrm{in} . \times 0.5 \mathrm{in}$., eluting with $1: 1 \mathrm{MeOH}-$ acetone). The filtrate was concentrated, and the residue, dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, was chromatographed (eluting with $20 \%$ $\mathrm{MeOH}-80 \%$ acetone). The yield of 15, a yellow solid, $\mathrm{mp}>220$ ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-3860\left(\mathrm{c} 0.032, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ), was $0.052 \mathrm{~g}(48 \%)$. IR (KBr): 3048, 2926, 2863, 1594, 1529, $1508 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( 400 MHz , $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 9.04(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.78(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.0 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.59(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.21(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.72(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.8,7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.58(\mathrm{dd}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=$ $8.1,7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.15(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.4 \mathrm{~Hz}), 4.34(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H})$, $4.07(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.73(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.54(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}$, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl 3 ): $\delta 156.7$, $144.7,144.5,142.9,141.1,140.7,140.5,140.3,138.6,131.8,131.0$, 129.8-129.5 (m), 129.0, 127.5, 127.0, 121.7, 120.9, 99.5, 71.6, 69.1, 68.5, 32.0, 19.5, 14.0 ppm. HRMS: calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{54} \mathrm{H}_{45} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{4}$ $(M+1) 869.3564$, found 869.3553.

Reaction of 15 with $\mathrm{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{Cl}$. Preparation of 16. A solution of $15(7 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\mathrm{CO})_{5} \mathrm{Cl}(6 \mathrm{mg}, 17 \mu \mathrm{~mol})$ in 1 mL of toluene was refluxed for 12 h . After the solvent had been removed, preparative TLC on alumina (eluant 20\% ethyl acetate in benzene) separated two red materials. The one with the smaller $\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{f}}$ was 16 ( $4 \mathrm{mg}, 33 \%$ yield), a red solid, mp > 220 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C},[\alpha]_{\mathrm{D}}-3400\left(\mathrm{c} 0.066, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right.$ ). IR (KBr): 2928, 2865, 2023, 1926, 1893, 1594, 1508, $1479 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR ( $400 \mathrm{MHz}, \mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ ): $\delta 8.97(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.7 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.92(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=2.6 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.76(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.45(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.8 \mathrm{~Hz}), 8.35(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5 \mathrm{~Hz})$, $8.02(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.85(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.30(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=8.5$ $\mathrm{Hz}), 4.45(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 4.13(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 3.78(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.76(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.56$ $(\mathrm{m}, 4 \mathrm{H}), 1.02(\mathrm{t}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{J}=7.4 \mathrm{~Hz}) \mathrm{ppm} .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}(75 \mathrm{MHz}$, $\left.\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right): \delta 158.3,148.0,145.8,142.8,142.1,141.0,139.0,138.9$, 133.7, 133.0, 131.9, 130.7-129.4 (m), 128.5, 127.9, 127.3, 121.8, 121.0, 100.4, 71.7, 69.2, 68.9, 31.9, 19.4, 14.0 ppm. HRMS (FAB): calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{60} \mathrm{H}_{44} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{10} \mathrm{Re}_{2}$ 1480.1670, found 1480.1700.
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